

44 ABSTRACT

45 At the present time, the computer program DRIP has been used as a numerical analysis tool for
46 design of subsurface drainage in a pavement system. The SEEP/W program, however, provides
47 an alternative analysis tool for transient water flow analysis that can take into account the
48 variation of hydraulic conductivity with water content of unbound base materials, geometry and
49 dimension of a pavement section, and the use of subsurface drainage pipes. This paper presents
50 the results of a comprehensive parametric study of representative pavement subsurface drainage
51 system using two-dimensional SEEP/W program. The parameters studied include the base
52 hydraulic conductivity, subbase hydraulic conductivity, base layer thickness, pavement cross-
53 slope, drainage length, base D_{60} gradation, and the location of edge drain. A statistically derived
54 regression equation together with design charts are presented for estimating time to drain 50% of
55 free water in a pavement system. Observations based on parametric analysis results and
56 comparisons between DRIP analysis and SEEP/W analysis are provided for facilitate effective
57 subsurface drainage design. Although saturated hydraulic conductivity of base material is an
58 important factor, other factors such as Soil-Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC), variation of
59 hydraulic conductivity with water content (degree of saturation), pavement geometry and
60 dimensions, use of subsurface drainage pipes are also important in controlling the effectiveness
61 of a pavement subsurface drainage system.

62

63 INTRODUCTION

64 It has been recognized by experts such as Cedergren (1) that premature structural and functional
65 pavement failures can often be related to the length of time that excess moisture remains in the
66 pavement system. The longer the duration is, the more pronounced water-induced pavement
67 damage could be. Water-related problems in flexible pavement include reduction in strength of
68 unbound base, subbase, and subgrade soils, stripping of asphalt pavement, shrinkage and
69 swelling of subgrade materials, and frost heave and reduction of subgrade strength during the
70 thaw period. Thus, providing adequate subsurface drainage in a pavement system to remove the
71 infiltrated moisture in a timely manner is an important design consideration. This is also
72 supported by numerous researches on the importance of providing and maintaining positive
73 drainage system within a pavement system. For example, Frotyth et al. (2) stated that if the
74 infiltrated water can be drained quickly, the pavement life can be extended by 33 percent for the
75 flexible pavement systems and 50 percent for the rigid pavement systems. Cedergren (3) reported
76 that a pavement system with a good drainage system could last two to three times longer than
77 those without a drainage system. Christopher and McGuffey (4) estimated that free excess water
78 can lead to reduction of the life expectancy of a pavement system by more than half. The
79 importance of designing an effective drainage system in a pavement was fully acknowledged by
80 AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structure (AASHTO, 5) by incorporating the drainage
81 factors in their design. The newly developed Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide
82 (MEPDG, 6) accounts for drainage through the use of the FHWA microcomputer program
83 (DRIP, 7).

84 Most of the time, the water flow near the pavement surface occurs under unsaturated (or
85 partially saturated) conditions where the hydraulic conductivity of the soils is not a constant
86 value, but a function of the soil water content (Stormont and Zhou, 9). Recently, Liang (10)
87 reported that most of the time the monitored flexible pavement sections had water content less
88 than the ones corresponding to the full saturation. According to Philip (11), the amount of the
89 infiltrated water is a function of materials permeability, gravity forces, and soil matric suction. In