ORIGINAL PAPER

Pentacoordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino)ethyl]pyridine-Schiff base ligands as new catalyst systems mediated atom transfer radical polymerization of (meth)acrylate monomers

Adnan Abu-Surrah • Khalid A. Ibrahim • Maher Y. Abdalla • Ayman A. Issa

Received: 10 August 2009 / Accepted: 11 January 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract 2,6-bis[1-(cis)-myrtanylimino)ethyl]pyridineiron (II) chloride (2) and 2,6-bis[(1-phenylimino)ethyl]pyridineiron(II) chloride (3) were investigated as novel complexes for iron-mediated atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of methyl methacrylate (MMA) and tert-butyl acrylate (tBA) using toluene as the solvent, and ethyl 2bromoisobutyrate as the initiator. A catalyst/initiator molar ratio as low as 0.1/1 was used in order to reduce catalyst contamination to the polymers. Both complexes produced PMMA and PtBA polymers with controlled structures and very low molecular weight distributions as low as 1.07, in particular for complex 3. High to moderate conversions (30-45%) were obtained in 20 h, although very diluted amount of catalyst was used and in the absence of any reducing agent which indicates an efficient catalyst system. The resulting polymers were characterized by NMR, GPC, and DSC. Syndio-rich atactic poly(t-BA) and poly(MMA) with relatively high [rr] diads (50%, 42%, respectively) were isolated.

A. Abu-Surrah · A. A. Issa Department of Chemistry, Hashemite University, P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115, Jordan

K. A. Ibrahim (⊠)
Faculty of Mining and Environmental Engineering,
Department of Chemical Engineering,
Al-Hussein Bin Talal University,
P.O.Box: 20, Ma'an, Jordan
e-mail: khalida@ahu.edu.jo

M. Y. Abdalla Department of Biology, Hashemite University, P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115, Jordan Keywords ATRP \cdot Bis(imino)pyridineiron(II) complexes \cdot tert-Butylacrylate \cdot Methyl methacrylate \cdot Tacticity \cdot Molecular weight distribution

Introduction

Atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), a method for controlling free radical polymerizations, has recently developed into a versatile tool in polymer chemistry which has been applied to a wide range of vinyl monomers utilizing various initiators and catalyst systems. A special advantage of ATRP is that it requires less stringent conditions than ionic methods [1].

A steady effort has been directed toward designing ligands that display improved activity in ATRP, yet are still cost effective. In copper based ATRP, replacement of bipyridine ligands with alkyl amines lowers the redox potential of the copper halide catalyst and accelerates the polymerizations [2]. A similar phenomenon was also observed in ruthenium and iron [3] catalyzed ATRP when triphenylphosphine and bipyridine were replaced with tributylphosphine and tributylamine, respectively. Trialkyland triarylphosphine complexes of iron(II) and iron(III) halides gave promising results in direct and reverse ATRP of styrene and methyl methacrylate [4-6]. The research was thus addressed toward less toxic ligands which similarly permit a fine-tuning of the steric and electronic properties at the metal center. Four-coordinate iron(II) complexes bearing α -diimine ligands with alkyl substituents were efficient catalysts for well-controlled ATRP of styrene [7]. However, the lowest value reached by the polydispersity index (PDI= $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm p}$) for the polystyrenes by these catalysts is 1.27, which is greater than the value of 1.1 typically obtained

with the copper-based catalysts [8] and iron(II) complexes of tridentate salicylaldiminato ligands [9].

A series of ruthenium catalysts $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3, Ru(Ind) Cl(PPh_3)_2, Ru(Cp^*)Cl(PPh_3)_2]$ were also employed for MMA in conjunction with a series of bulky fluoroalcohols such as $(CF_3)_3COH$, $(CF_3)_2C(Ph)OH$ and, m-C₆H₄[C $(CF_3)_2OH]_2$. One of the advantages of the ruthenium catalysts in such alcoholic solvents is expected to be their high stability to these solvents due to the low oxophilicity of ruthenium [10].

Among the various ruthenium complexes, $Ru(Cp^*)Cl$ (PPh₃)₂ was the most effective in giving the narrowest MWD (M_w/M_n) 1.07) in (CF₃)₃COH and (CF₃)₂C(Ph)OH, which were also efficient in enhancing the syndiotacticity to *rr* 80% and 77%, respectively, at 0°C [11].

Ruthenium complexes with chiral phosphane ligands such as Ru(Ind)Cl[(–)-DIOP] (DIOP=2,3-(isopropylidenedioxy)- 2,3-dihydroxy-l,4-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)-butane) were also employed in asymmetric halogen transfer radical addition and metal-catalyzed living radical polymerization reactions of methyl acrylate (MA), and methyl methacrylate (MMA). The above complexes induced asymmetric chiral addition reactions in high chemical yields and relatively high optical yields (10–30% *ee*) [12].

Earlier we reported four tetradentate nitrogen ligands, viz. dichloro {[*N*,*N*'-diphenyl-*N*,*N*'-di(quinoline-2-methyl)]-1,2ethylene diamine} (I), $\{[N,N'-dioctyl-N,N'-di(quinoline-2$ methyl)]-1,2-ethylene diamine} (II), $\{[N,N'-dibenzyl-N,N'-di$ (quinoline-2-methyl)]-1,2-ethylene diamine} (III), and (1R,2R)-(-)-N,N'-di(quinoline-2-methyl)di-iminocyclohexane (IV), that were synthesized and used as complexing ligands in iron-mediated polymerization of methyl methacrylate [13]. High to moderate conversions (87%-43%) were obtained in relatively short times (90 min for I and 30 min for II), which indicates an efficient catalyst system. When the bulkiness of the substituents was significantly increased, as in ligand III, a decrease in polymerization rate and loss of control occurred. Ligand IV was less efficient than the other ligands, probably because the ethylene bridge was replaced by cyclohexane bridge. Very recently, we reported the synthesis of new pentacoordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino)ethyl]pyridine-, quinolinaldimine- and thiophenaldimine-Schiff base ligands. The complexes, after activation with MAO, were evaluated as catalysts for coordination polymerization of tert-butyl acrylate (tBA), methyl methacrylate (MMA) and *n*-vinyl carbazole (NVC) [14, 15]. Polymerization results showed that bis(imino)pyridyl-based complexes are more active than the complexes containing the aldimine ligands. In addition, the ketimine complexes having bulky aliphatic substituents have highest activities in tBA polymerizations while activity of the complexes with aromatic substitutes, is in general lower and dependent on the substituents on the aniline. The potential of iron(II) complexes in homopolymerization of various polar monomers has been demonstrated as polymerization experiments indicated that these complexes are also active towards the polymerization of methyl methacrylate, and n-vinyl carbazole. Herein we report the implementation of pentacoordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino)ethyl]pyridine, as catalysts for atom transfer radical polymerization of *tert*-butyl acrylate (tBA) and methyl methacrylate (MMA). In this report the initiator to catalyst molar ratio has been 1: 0.1 in the synthesis of all polymers under investigation with no reducing agents involved since a major drawback of this technique is the need for relatively high amounts of redox active transition metal (typically equimolar amounts to alkyl halide initiator), which makes product purification inevitable. The contamination of the polymer with the metal may be seen as a serious limitation to the industrial application of ATRP [16-20].

Experimental

Materials

tert-Butyl acrylate, (tBA), (purum grade from Fluka) was purified by washing with 5% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, followed by washing with water. The organic portion was then dried for 24 h under anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and finally distilled under reduced pressure. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) (Aldrich, 99%) was purified by passing it through a column of activated basic alumina to remove inhibitor. It was then stored under nitrogen at -15 °C. Toluene (Analytical grade from Merk, Germany), was distilled over Na/benzophenone, deaerated by bubbling nitrogen, and stored under nitrogen. Ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate ((CH₃)₂CBrCO₂Et) was dried under molecular sieves. The complexes, 2,6-bis[(1cyclohexylimino)ethyl]pyridineiron(II) chloride (1), 2,6-bis[1-(cis)-myrtanylimino)ethyl]pyridineiron(II) dichloride (2), and 2,6-bis[(1-phenylimino)ethyl]pyridineiron(II) chloride (3) were prepared following literature procedures [14, 15]. All other reagents were used as received.

Polymerization

Polymerization of methyl methacrylate and tert-butyl acrylate were carried out under dry nitrogen in a dried Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was charged with the required amount of monomer, initiator, and catalyst, sealed with a rubber septum, and degassed to remove oxygen. Degassed methacrylate monomer and degassed solvents were added with a nitrogen-purged syringe, and the tube was degassed and back-filled with nitrogen three times. The solution was stirred for 5 min. Finally, immediately after the initiator was added via nitrogen-purged syringe, the tube was immersed in an oil bath



preheated to the desired temperature. After a given time, the reaction was stopped and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with tetrahydrofuran (THF). The obtained polymer solution was passed over basic alumina to remove the catalyst, and the polymer was precipitated with an excess amount of methanol. The dried product was characterized by NMR, DSC, and GPC techniques and the conversion was determined by gravimetry.

Characterization

The percentage conversion was determined by weighing the dried polymer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra (CDCl₃) of the polymer were recorded using a Bruker (av 300) operated at 300 MHz. The molecular weights were determined by room temperature SEC (Waters System Interface Model, Waters 510 HPLC Pumps, Waters Differential Refractometer, Waters 700 Satellite Wisp, and four linear PL gel columns 10^4 , 10^5 , 10^3 , and 100 nm connected in series). Chloroform was used as solvent and eluent. The samples wer filtered through a 0.5 µm Millex SR filter. Injected volume was 200 µl and the flow rate was 1 ml min⁻¹. Nearly monodisperse polystyrene standards in the range 2×10^6 – 150 g/mol were used for primary calibration. Thermal gravimetric analysis was performed on *a* NETZSCH STA 409 PC LUXX instrument (heating rate 10 K/min.).

Results and discussion

Pentacoordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino) ethyl]pyridine are depicted in Fig. 1. These complexes were prepared with good to moderate yields. The synthetic route to the ligands and to the corresponding complexes under investigation have been reported by us elsewhere [14, 15].

In the above mentioned reports, it can be concluded that in the polymerization of tBA and MMA after activation with methyl alumioxane (MAO) the activity and the molar masses of polymers were clearly influenced by the ligand structure and the choice of the metal center. It was also seen in the study that in the beginning of the polymerization iron(II) complex 1 bearing the cyclohexyl terminals was the most active, but rapidly the activity was decreased and after 1 h the activity reached a constant value. Polymerization results of tBA and MMA showed that the activity of the iron complexes increases with increasing the bulkiness of the substituents on the terminal groups of the ligand. Complex 2 [Fe(BMyEP) Cl₂] (BMyEP: 2,6-bis[1-(cis)-myrtanylimino)-ethyl]pyridine), where the ligand carries the bulky aliphatic myrtanyl substituents, exhibited the highest activity $(54.9 \times 10^3 \text{ g poly-}$ mer/mol catalyst. h) and produced the highest molar mass polymer (201.3×10^3 g/mol). For these interesting findings, one intention of this study is to implement these complexes in ATRP method for the polymerization of MMA and tBA. Other intention is to use a minimum amount of the catalyst since the major drawback of ATRP is the large amount of catalyst which is usually required to promote the polymerization control [21].

Table 1 shows the polymerization results of MMA and *t*BA utilizing penta-coordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino)ethyl]pyridine with molar ratio of catalyst /initiator as 0.1/1. Well-defined molecular weights and very low molecular weight distribution (M_w/M_n) were obtained for all polymers except for compex **1** (entry 1) which produced a bimodal molecular weight distribution, plausibly because propagation of MMA was faster than initiation [8, 22, 23].

In one of our studies [13] we reported that polymerization results using ([N,N'-diphenyl-N,N'-di(quinoline-2-methyl)]-1,2-ethylene diamine/FeCl₂ catalytic system) were clearly best when the molar ratio of catalyst to initiator was 1.

Table 1 Results of the ATRPpolymerization of MMA andtBA using complexes $(1-3)^a$

^a conditions:T=90°C, solvent, Toluene (33% (v/v), [monomer]: [initiator]:[catalyst] = 200:1:0.1, reaction time = 20 h

Entry	Complex	Monomer	Conversion %	$M_n \times 10^3 g/mole$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$	T _g (°C)
1	1	MMA	35	34.1	bimodal	108
2	2	MMA	20	33.5	1.25	108
3	3	MMA	45	52.2	1.07	114
4	3	tBA	30	72.5	1.07	60



Fig. 2 Cyclic Voltammetry of bis(amino)pyridine based complexes 2 and 3 $\,$

Decrease in the catalyst to initiator molar ratio from 1.0 to 0.25 resulted in slower polymerization and broader molecular weight distribution, perhaps due to more frequent termination reactions during the early stage of the polymerization. Moineau et al. [24] studied the effect of decreasing the catalyst/initiator molar ratio from 1.0 to 0.05. They used Ni (PPh₃)₂Br₂ catalyst for the polymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) by ATRP technique. Their results were a slower polymerization, a larger polydispersity, and a smaller initiation efficiency.

By using 2,6-bis[1-(cis)-myrtanylimino)ethyl]pyridine iron (II) chloride complex (2, entry 2, Table 1) for the polymerization of MMA and the complex 2,6-bis[(1-phenylimino) ethyl]pyridine iron(II) chloride (3, entries 3 and 4, Table 1) for the ATRP polymerization of both MMA and tBA, polymers with controlled molecular weight poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) (entry 3, Table 1) and poly(tert-butylacrylate) (PtBA) (entry 4, Table 1) with very narrow polydispersities (as narrow as 1.07, entries 3 and 4) have been synthesized. Moreover, the monomer conversion has been reasonably acceptable, despite the fact that diluted amount of catalyst has been used which indicates high catalyst efficiency, in particular for complex 3. We believe that increased electron donacity of the ligands play an important role in the activity of ATRP catalysts. The high Lewis basicity of the 2,6-bis [(imino)ethyl]pyridine may lower the redox potential of the iron(II) complexes facilitating halide abstraction from dormant polymer chains. This would shift the equilibrium toward growing polymer radicals and therefore increase the rate of polymerization. Due to its reversible one-electron redox (Fe (II)-Fe(III)), the complexes 2 and 3 were studied electrochem-

 Table 2 Results of the cyclic voltammogram of complexes 2 and 3

Complex	$E_{p}^{a}(V) \\$	$E_{p}^{c}(V) \\$	Conversion %	$M_n \times 10^3 \text{ g/mole}$
1	0.08	0.41	35	34.1
2	-0.22	-0.08	20	33.5
3	0.45	0.72	45	52.2



Fig. 3 GPC curves of PMMA and PtBA using complexes 2 and 3. Conditions are the same as in Table 1

ically. Substituents (cycloaliphatic or aromatic) on the bis (imino)pyridine ligands affect the electron density and redox properties of the iron(II). The cyclic voltammogram of complex 2 is shown in Fig. 2. The results are tabulated in Table 2. The study showed that the oxidation potential of the phenyl substituted bis(imino)pyridine)-based complex (3) is more positive than that of the cycloaliphatic, myrtanyl substituted based complex (2). This could be due to the difference in basicity of the tridentate nitrogen ligands. The bulkiness of the aliphatic myrtanyl substituents on the nitrogen could be the reason for the lower basic properties of the nitrogen donor atom in the ligand of complex 2. The cyclic voltammogram of 2 (Fig. 2) is quasi-reversible with a one-electron transfer for the Fe(II)-Fe(III) redox couple of the central iron atom. Complex 2 showed the oxidation and reduction waves at -0.22 and -0.08 V, respectively. While 1 at 0.08 and 0.41 V, respectively, and 3 at 0.45 and 0.72 V, respectively. This indicates that the central iron atom for 3 is harder to oxidize. This difference in electron density on the iron atoms could be the reason for the higher activity of complex 3 (45% conversion).

The increased donacity of these ligands may also stabilize the iron(III) species [25] and therefore enhance



Fig. 4 Solution polymerization of MMA with complex **3** as the catalyst. ([Monomer]:[Initiator]:[Catalyst] = 200:1:0.1)



the rapid exchange of halides between dormant and active polymer chain ends.

Table 1 also shows the glass transition temperatures (T_g) of the polymers ranged from 108 to 114 °C for PMMA (entries 1–3) and 60 °C for PtBA (entry 4). The value of T_g for PMMA synthesized in this study is in good agreement compared to 115 °C for PMMA synthesized by traditional free-radical polymerization, whereas it is rather high for PtBA (49 °C) [26, 27]. In addition, these T_g values are higher than those for the polymers produced via coordination polymerization (T_g \approx 127 °C for PMMA and between 35 to 62 °C for PtBA) [14, 15].

Figure 3 shows GPC chromatograms of both PMMA (complex 2 and complex 3 in Fig. 1) and PtBA (complex 3) which are single and symmetric peaks. Also it shows that there was almost complete initiation by the ethyl 2-bromo isobutyrate initiator, in particular for complex 3.

Kinetic study of PMM using complex 3

To get a close idea about the kinetic of ATRP polymerization of MMA in the presence of complex 3 as the catalyst, further experiments were conducted. The polymerizations were homogeneous and darkly colored which indicated good solubility of the iron(II) complex in the polymeriza-



Fig. 6 Dependence of molecular weights on monomer conversion in solution polymerization of MMA at 90° C using complex 3 as the catalyst. ([Monomer]:[Initiator]:[Catalyst] = 200:1:0.1)

tion medium. The kinetics of the solution polymerization of MMA at 90°C initiated by ethyl 2-bromo isobutyrate are presented in Figs. 4 and 5.

Figure 4 shows the increasing of monomer conversion with time as a basic requirement for living systems. The straight semi logarithmic kinetic plot ln $([M_0]/[M_t])$ versus time, t, (where M_0 is the initial concentration of the monomer, and M_t is the monomer concentration at any time) indicates that the concentration of growing radicals is constant (Fig. 5).

Figure 6 presents the linear evolution of number-average molecular weight, $M_{n(Theo)}$ versus monomer conversion indicating controlled/'living' process with a negligible amount of transfer reactions.

PtBA and PMMA tacticity

Various stereospecific polymerizations using Ziegler-Natta [28], metallocene [29], anionic [30, 31] or radical polymerization [32] have been reported earlier. Highly isotactic poly(*t*BA) has been synthesized using a chiral zirconocene ([m] diad 83%) [29] or with n-BuLi in the presence of LiOH ([m] diad 85%) [33]. The highest syndiotactic diad value reported is [r] = 63% for poly(t-BA) prepared by radical polymerization at low temperature [34] and a triad syndiotacticity [rr] of about 40% has been reported for anionic polymerization with diphenylmethyllithium as an initiator [35].

Stereospecific living radical polymerizations of methyl methacrylate (MMA) and 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) were achieved with a combination of rutheniumcatalyzed living radical and solvent-mediated stereospecific radical polymerizations. Polar solvents for stereospecific radical polymerization seem more compatible with the late transition-metal catalysts for living radical polymerizations than the Lewis acids, which may cause deactivation of the metal catalyst or decomposition of the carbon-halogen terminus. A bulky fluoroalcohol, such as (CF₃)₂CHOH, which is effective for syndiospecific radical polymerization





of MMA at a low temperature, was thus employed for the ATRP of MMA with ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate/CuBr/Me₆TREN at -20 and -78 °C [36]. Highly syndiotactic poly(MMA)s with narrow MWDs were obtained (*rr*) 75%, *Mw/Mn*) 1.17 at -20 although the *Mn* values were higher than the calculated values. The addition of CuBr₂ improved the molecular weight control especially during the early stage of the polymerization [37].

According to poly(*t*BA) NMR spectroscopy, poly(*t*BA) materials prepared in the present study using the complex **3** is syndio-rich atactic with [rr] diads being 50%. This result is relatively higher than that reported by us using MAO activated bis(imino)pyridine)iron(II) dichloride complexes,

syndiorich atactic poly(t-BA) with [r] up to around 37% were isolated at room temperature [14, 15].

Figure 7 shows the ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR of Poly (MMA). Syndiotacticity of the poly(MMA) was determined with reference to the reported triad and pentad assignments [38].

Both α - and ω -end groups of the PMMA prepared with ethyl-2-bromoisobutyrate were characterized by 1H NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 7). The spectrum shows signals characteristic of the methylene protons (4.22 ppm) of the ethyl ester group at the α -end, along with the large absorptions of the main-chain PMMA units (3.60 ppm for the ester methyl). In addition, the small signal (3.84 ppm) near the main-chain unit can be attributed to the ester methyl protons adjacent to the bromine atom at the ω -end. These results are in agreement with ones reported by Sawamoto's Research Group [39]. Signals of ¹³C-NMR of the poly(MMA) materials prepared by the complexes **1–3** (entries 1–3, Table 1) at δ 178.1, 177.8, and 176.9 ppm are assigned to rrrm, rrrr, and mmrr, respectively. The ratio of these signals, 32:42:26, corresponds to a syndio-rich atactic polymer [40]. Similar results have been reported with metallocene catalysts [41] and bis(imino) pyridineiron(II)/MAO catalysts systems [14]. It is believed that the type of the tridentate nitrogen ligand could be the main reason for achieving these tacticity values.

Conclusion

Methyl methacrylate and *tert*-butyl acrylate were successfully polymerized by atom transfer radical technique using pentacoordinated iron(II) complexes with 2,6-bis[(imino) ethyl]pyridine-Schiff base ligands. These complexes proved to be active not only in the polymerization of MMA and *t*BA after activation with MAO but also as ATRP catalyst with minimum amount of catalyst concentration. In addition, polymerization with these catalysts via ATRP afforded polymers with very low molecular weight distribution (M_w/M_n) and syndio-rich atactic poly(*t*BA) and poly (MMA) with relatively higher [rr] diads than those produced by the MAO activated bis[(imino)pyridineiron (II)-based catalayst systems.

Acknowledgements Financial support by the Hashemite University is gratefully acknowledged. The authors would like to thank professor Markku Auer (Technical Research Centre of Finland/Åbo Akademi, Turku/Åbo, Finland) for the GPC measurements.

References

- 1. Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K (1995) Controlled/"living" radical polymerization. Atom transfer radical polymerization in the presence of transition-metal complexes. J Am Chem Soc 117:5614
- Matyjaszewski K, Wei M, Xia J, McDermott NE (1997) Controlled/"living" radical polymerization of styrene and methyl methacrylate catalyzed by iron complexes. Macromolecules 30:8161
- Matyjaszewski K, Wang J, Grimaud T, Shipp D (1998) Controlled/"living" atom transfer radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate using various initiation systems. Macromolecules 31:1527
- Chen XP, Qiu K-Y (2000) Synthesis of well-defined polystyrene by radical polymerization using 1,1,2,2-tetraphenyl-1,2-ethanediol/feCl₃/PPh₃ initiation system. J Appl Polym Sci 77:1607
- Chen XP, Qiu KY (2000) 'Living' radical polymerization of styrene with AIBN/FeCl₃/PPh₃ initiating system via a reverse atom transfer radical polymerization process. Polym Int 49:1529
- Chen XP, Qiu KY (1999) Synthesis of well-defined Poly(methyl methacrylate) by radical polymerization with a new initiation system TPED†/FeCl₃/PPh₃. Macromolecules 32:8711

- Gibson VC, O'Reilly RK, Reed W, Wass DF, White AJP, Williams DJ (2002) Four coordinate iron complexes bearing diimine ligands: efficient catalysts for atom transfer radical polymerisation (ATRP). Chem Commun 200:1850
- Matyjaszewski K, Xia J (2001) Atom transfer radical polymerization. Chem Rev 101:2921
- O' Reilly RK, Gibson VC, White AJP, Williams DJ (2003) Design of highly active iron-based catalysts for atom transfer radical polymerization: tridentate salicylaldiminato ligands affording near ideal nernstian behavior. J Am Chem Soc 125:8450
- 10. Nishikawa T, Ando T, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M (1997) Evidence for living radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate with ruthenium complex: effects of protic and radical compounds and reinitiation from the recovered polymers. Macromolecules 30:2244
- 11. Shibata T, Satoh K, Kamigaito M, Okamoto Y (2006) Simultaneous control of the stereospecificity and molecular weight in the ruthenium-catalyzed living radical polymerization of methyl and 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylates and sequential synthesis of stereoblock polymers. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 44:3609
- Iizuka Y, Li Z, Satoh K, Kamigaito M, Okamoto Y, Ito J-I, Nishiyama H (2006) Chiral (-)-DIOP ruthenium complexes for asymmetric radical addition and living radical polymerization reactions. Eur J Org Chem 2007:782
- Ibrahim K, Yliheikkilä K, Abu-Surrah A, Löfgren B, Lappalainen K, Leskelä M, Repo T, Seppälä J (2004) Polymerization of methyl methacrylate in the presence of iron(II) complex with tetradentate nitrogen ligands under conditions of atom transfer radical polymerization. Eur Polym J 40:1095
- 14. Abu-Surrah A, Qaroush AJ (2007) Polymerization of vinyl monomers via MAO activated iron(II) dichloro complexes bearing bis(imino)pyridine-, quinolinaldimine-and thiophenaldiminebased tridentate nitrogen ligands. Eur Polym J 43:2967
- 15. Yliheikkilä K, Lappalainen K, Castro P, Ibrahim K, Abu-Surrah A, Leskelä M, Repo T (2006) Polymerization of acrylate monomers with MAO activated iron(II) and cobalt(II) complexes bearing triand tetradentate nitrogen ligands. Eur Polym J 42:92
- Haddleton DM, Kukulj D, Radigue AP (1999) Atom transfer polymerisation of methyl methacrylate mediated by solid supported copper catalysts. Chem Commun 1:99
- Kickelbick G, Paik HJ, Matyjaszewski K (1999) Immobilization of the copper catalyst in atom transfer radical polymerization. Macromolecules 32:2941
- Haddleton DM, Duncalf DJ, Kukulj D, Radigue AP (1999) 3-Aminopropyl silica supported living radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate: dichlorotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (II) mediated atom transfer polymerization. Macromolecules 32:4769
- Shen Y, Zhu S, Pelton R (2000) Atom transfer radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate by silica gel supported copper bromide/multidentate amine. Macromolecules 32:5427
- Shen Y, Zhu S, Pelton R (2000) Packed column reactor for continuous atom transfer radical polymerization: methyl methacrylate polymerization using silica gel supported catalyst. Macromol Rapid Commun 21:956
- Matyjaszewski K, Coca S, Gaynor SG, Wei M, Woodworth BE (1997) Zerovalent metals in controlled living radical polymerization. Macromolecules 30:7348
- 22. Kamingato M, Ando T, Sawamoto M (2001) Metal-catalysed living radical polymerization. Chem Rev 101:3689
- 23. Kato M, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M, Higashimura T (1995) Polymerization of methyl methacrylate with the carbon tetrachloride/dichlorotris- (triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II)/methylaluminum bis(2, 6-di-tert-butylphenoxide) initiating system: possibility of living radical polymerization. Macromolecules 28:1721

- Moineau G, Minet M, Duboi Ph, Teyssié Ph, Senninger T, Jérôme R (1999) Controlled radical polymerization of (Meth)acrylates by ATRP with NiBr₂(PPh₃)₂ as catalyst. Macromolecules 32:27
- 25. Renkema KB, Ogasawara M, Streib WE, Huffman JC, Caulton KG (1999) Synthetic approaches to Fe(II) complexes of the bulky phosphine P^tBu₂Me. Inorg Chim Acta 317:226
- 26. Wunderlich W, Benfaremo N, Klapper M, Müllen K (1996) Effect on a π-donor on the radical bulk polymerization of methyl metracrylate. Macromol Rapid Commun 17:433
- 27. Colombani D, Steenbock M, Klapper M, Müllen K (1997) 1,3,5,5-tetraphenyl- Δ^3 -1,2,4-triazolin-2-yl radical: properties in the controlled radical polymerization of poly(methyl methacrylate) and polystyrene. Macromol Rapid Commun 18:243
- Davis T, Haddleton D, Richards S (1994) Controlled polymerization of acrylates and methacrylates. Macromol Chem Phys 34:243
- 29. Deng H, Soga K (1996) Isotactic polymerization of tert-butyl acrylate with chiral zirconocene. Macromolecules 29:1847
- 30. Hatada K, Kitayama T, Ute K (1988) Stereoregular polymerization of α -substituted acrylates. Prog Polym Sci 13:189
- Hatada K, Kitayama T (2000) Structurally controlled polymerizations of methacrylates and acrylates. Polym Int 49:11
- 32. Liu W, Nakano T, Okamoto Y (2000) Polymerization of t-butyl acrylate using organoaluminum complexes and correlation between main-chain tacticity and glass transition temperature of the obtained polymers. Polymer 41:4467
- Liu W, Nakano T, Okamoto Y (1999) Stereochemistry of acrylate polymerization in toluene using n-BuLi. Polym J 31:479

- 34. Matsuzaki K, Uryu T, Kanai T, Hosonuma K, Matsubara T, Tachikawa H et al (1977) The microtacticity of poly(acrylic esters). Macromol Chem 178:11
- 35. Ishizone T, Yoshimura K (1998) Controlled anionic polymerization of tert-Butyl acrylate with diphenylmethyl anions in the presence of dialkylzinc. Macromolecules 31:8706
- 36. Miura Y, Satoh T, Narumi A, Nishizawa O, Okamoto Y, Kakuchi T (2005) Atom transfer radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate in fluoroalcohol: simultaneous control of molecular weight and tacticity. Macromolecules 38:1041
- 37. Miura Y, Satoh T, Narumi A, Nishizawa O, Okamoto Y, Kakuchi T (2006) Synthesis of well-defined syndiotactic poly(methyl methacrylate) with low-temperature atom transfer radical polymerization in fluoroalcohol. J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem 44:1436
- 38. Yasuda H, Yamamoto H, Yamashita M, Yokota K, Nakamura A, Miyake S et al (1993) Synthesis of high molecular weight Poly (methyl methacrylate) with extremely low polydispersity by the unique function of organolanthanide(111) complexes. Macromolecules 26:7134
- Uegaki H, Kotani Y, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M (1997) Nickelmediated living radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate. Macromolecules 30:2249
- Soga K, Deng H, Yano T, Shiono T (1994) Stereospecific polymerization of methyl methacrylate initiated by dimethylzirconocene/B(C₆F₅)₃ (or Ph₃CB(C₆F₅)₄)/Zn(C₂H₅)₂. Macromolecules 27:7938
- Collins S, Ward DG (1992) Group-transfer polymerization using cationic zirconocene compounds. J Am Chem Soc 114:5460